Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement For the Kansas Division of the Budget

KDWPT Agency <u>Christopher J Tymeson</u> Agency Contact 785-296-1032 Contact Phone Number

K.A.R. 115-2-3 K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to:

Division of the Budget
900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N

Topeka, KS 66612

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

The proposed changes to regulation include increasing long term camping rates.

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)

The regulatory change is not mandated by the federal government. Each state and the federal government has camp sites in various parks that charge rates by location and campsite.

- III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:
 - A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

Increases in long term camping rates reflect increased costs in providing campsites with utilities. Some individuals may choose to utilized private campgrounds as a result and it may therefore enhance business growth.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

The economic effect on long term state park campers in the form of a user fee would be an increase of \$100 per month per campsite for most state parks and \$150 per month per campsite in 5 state parks.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;
None.

D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

Costs continue to increase for utilities and therefore campsite pricing must keep up. If state parks are to run like a private

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

business, they cannot be subjected to subsidizing user costs. At the same time, state parks do not want to compete with private businesses.

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

The agency balances increased costs for providing utility campsites and competition with private interests and only increases user fees when warranted.

F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

\$104,800 annually.

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

\$104,800 annually.

Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?

YES □ NO ⊠

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

673 long term camping permits were sold at the lower rate in 2017. 250 long term camping permits were sold at the enhanced park rate in 2017. Assuming the camping rate stays the same, the 673 permits would generate an additional \$67,300 and the 250 permits would generate an additional \$37,500 for a total of \$104,800 annually, all of which would accrue to the park fee fund.

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

YES \square NO \boxtimes

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the DOB APPROVAL STAMP

League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

Not applicable.

| H. | Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, |
|----|---|
| | associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the |
| | public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). |

News releases to every newspaper in the state, discussion at prior public hearings and meetings which are broadcast online, publication in the Kansas Register and publication on the Department's website.

I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Not applicable.

| DOB APPROVAL STAMP |
|--------------------|
| |
| |
| |
| |